

"For Those Leery of the Siri Theory"

By William Albrecht

Before I began writing on this Theory, or even did research into this theory a certain Youtube user had pointed me towards the website "ThePopeinRed.com". Now, in the past I'd be quite careful to present such a dangerous site if I didn't have a quick refutation for such distortions being levied. Such is not the case this time. I have done deep research into the Siri Theory. The theory is based on Cardinal Siri and before I go into actually refuting this theory, I will actually cover the claims made by those perpetuating such a belief. The group of people we are dealing with here are a form of Sedevacantists, a new breed that dub themselves SEDE IMPEDITIST. What does SEDE IMPEDITIST mean?

As taken straight from the pages of INSIDETHEVATICAN, a website that can be found online:

Believers in this "thesis" are therefore a species of "*sede vacantist*" which might be denominated "*sede impeditist*," that is, those who believe that the past five popes are "antipopes" and that Siri remained the "true Pope" until his death in 1989, but was "impeded" from serving as the bishop of Rome.

I have to admit, we're still on the doorsteps of Sedevacantism--->

Sedevacantism is a theological position embraced by a minority of [Traditionalist Catholics](#) which holds that the [Papal See](#) has been vacant since the death of [Pope Pius XII](#) in 1958 (or, in some cases, the death of [Pope John XXIII](#) in 1963). Sedevacantists believe that [Paul VI](#) (1963–1978), [John Paul I](#) (1978), [John Paul II](#) (1978–2005) and [Benedict XVI](#) (2005-) have been neither true [Catholics](#) nor true [popes](#), but rather notorious [heretics](#).

. (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedevacantist>)

--and it still sounds an awful lot like a wild eyed theory. When I visited this website I read and I read and I did research all over the place. It seems as if this theory has quite a good amount of support. Right off the bat I realized that the claims were outlandish.

The claim goes like this: Directly off of the POPE IN RED WEBSITE

On the very front page of this website we are shown these letters beneath the picture of Cardinal Siri.

Although betrayed by His Cardinals beginning on the day of His Divine Election, October 26, 1958 A.D., put under constant surveillance, a documented death-threat, and having absolutely no temporal arm to turn to for help-Pope Gregory XVII, by a near miraculous occurrence shortly before His "unforseen" death on May 2, 1989 A.D., *did* perform the proper actions to carry on the True Hierarchy (Mission) of the True Church.

So why is this man dubbed the Pope in Red? Well this website gives us a barrage of prophecies that supposedly tell of this CARDINAL- of this POPE WHO WILL WEAR RED-- As the Venerable Catherine Emmerick spoke of. The assumption is that the Pope in Red--this Pope in Exile-is indeed Cardinal Siri. The claim further made from this site goes this way--

Forward

The Siri "Thesis" (FACT) holds that actually Cardinal Giuseppe Siri was elected Pope, after the death of Pope Pius XII in 1958, but the newly-elected Pope (Gregory XVII, formerly Cardinal Siri) was *put under *grave duress*, threatened- prevented from taking the Papal Chair (i.e. publically proclaiming His Pontificacy) and replaced by Angelo Roncalli (John XXIII). There is evidence that in 1958, during the Conclave, the enemies of the Church were threatening "Siri" with *mass destruction* if he took the Chair of Peter (i.e. as stated "Cardinal Siri" after being canonically elected, **accepted the papacy** and chose the name Gregory XVII - the 5th column enemies within the Conclave walls themselves, *then* used ferocious and vicious, real threats against Pope Gregory XVII, if he publically were to announce he was the True Pope.) Pursuant to this thesis, all of the apparent 'popes' after Pope Pius XII were/are imposters (Anti-popes), as "Siri" was the true Pope.

"Resignation is invalid by law if it was made out of grave fear unjustly inflicted, fraud, substantial error, or simony" (1917 Code of Canon Law, Canon 185).

Let us also remember on a side note that this front page tells us:

A heroic priest [Fr. Khoat Tran] was able to locate, and have a clandestine meeting with the *Hostage Pope*, Gregory XVII- on June 14th, 1988. The following photo taken moments after Fr. Khoat privately met with His Holiness records this **Historical event** (see time stamp -lower right corner 88-6-14)

Fr. Khoat had *several* audiences with **Pope Gregory XVII**, *after* this initial one. As he emerged from the meeting with Pope Gregory XVII (where His Holiness confided to Fr. Khoat that his captors could kill him at anytime) Fr. Khoat handed his camera to a person who was standing in the room, and asked him to take some quick pictures. **Again, this was right in the heart of enemy territory** (i.e. notice the picture of Antipope John Paul II in the upper right corner). This Monsignor Grone- who posed to the world as one of the Cardinal's household (in fact his official Secretary) - was **just the opposite**. Fr. Khoat related later that he (Mgrs. Grone) was an enemy of *de fide*, whose duty it was to **control** Pope Gregory XVII. Grone **adamantly** tried to stop the picture from being taken. Note the look on his (Grone's) face, and the gestures he is making with his hands! It is fitting that **Our Pope** presented himself with dignity: he holds his hands to his cassock and

stands firm. Also, look at his *eyes, closely (see excerpt of Fr. Khoat's sermon below).

Pope Gregory XVII instructed Father Khoat to return later to the convent saying, "*Come back here at eight o'clock tonight my secretary will be gone then.*"

Fr. Khoat obeyed, and brought a trusted contact, Monsignor Carlo Taramasso, with him from nearby *Santa Marinella* (and eventually two more trusted witnesses were summoned: which included the well-known Italian prelate, His Excellency, Archbishop Arrigo Pintonello).

"The Pope Gregory XVII come out to fulfill the message of the La Salette: '*Rome will lose the faith and become the seat of Antichrist.*' The Pope Gregory XVII fulfilled the message, the prophecy, prophecies of the Fatima. *You don't pray for the conversion of Russia, and Russia will bring the lot of error to whole world and Holy Father will suffer much.* ...From the day I met Pope Gregory XVII, on June 14th 1988, I saw in his *eye- and I never forget- the eye of suffering, the eye of sorrow, the eye of humility, the eye of love. His eye always follow me. Daytime, nighttime, whenever I wake up... I know he suffered too much. He suffered so much..." -**Fr. Khoat (Excerpts from his sermon given in Corpus Christi, TX, May 20th 2006)**

"Siri" (a.k.a. Pope Gregory XVII) expired on May 2, 1989 A.D., and is said to have passed on the true pontificate to an as yet unknown successor, who will emerge in due time after the eclipse of the Church predicted at LaSalette has come to an end. It was a *suppressed* pontificate in the person of Cardinal Joseph Siri of Genoa, who was **canonically elected** in 1958, but immediately overthrown, and was intimidated into keeping silent about his status (as were his cardinals) for 31 years.

So you might be thinking right now. Where is the logic of these guys? If Cardinal Siri, or as they call him, Pope Gregory the 17th was actually Pope and he died in the late 80's who was his successor?

The Website further illuminates the way for us...

"Cardinal Siri" i.e. His Holiness, Pope Gregory XVII, died on May 2, 1989 A.D. Some think that his successor may have been his close friend, the Thomist, His Excellency, Archbishop Arrigo Pintonello. It is a fact that Archbishop Pintonello did not sign the Vatican II Documents. It is also true that he sent a letter to a group of True Catholics (The Church in Eclipse) which cryptically alluded to a college of Cardinals in a region of Europe. After the death of Pope Gregory XVII, Archbishop Pintonello was asked by a group of investigators in Italy, if he was the Pope. After several repeated polite, yet emphatic inquiries, Archbishop Pintonello's reply was: "*I am too old, I am to old.*"

Please note: in his reply to the question that was electronically recorded, he (Archbishop? Pintonello) did not say "no" to this question, but only... "*I am too old.*" Archbishop

Pintonello died on July 8th, 2001 A.D. at the age of 92.

What did Cardinal SIRI say about this. Accordingly we are told that before he died when asked if such was true he simply said:

"I am bound by the secret. This secret is horrible. I would have books to write about the different conclaves, very serious things have taken place, but I can say nothing."

So you see right from the get go that I've done my very best to not misrepresent anyone whatsoever. There is nothing I am doing here that I am attempting to take out of context. I am simply beginning by presenting the position of those that subscribe to the Cardinal Siri Theory.

So is this Theory fine for Catholics to believe in?

Not at all. This theory is not only wild eyed but very dangerous. This theory ties right in with Sedevacantism, as the DIMOND BROTHERS of the Most Holy Family Monastery(another Sedevacantist organization), subscribe to this theory and in fact call it "THE FINAL SCHISM" of the Church. They, though, hold to this theory in quite a different way.

It's funny, there is division even amongst the so called "SIRIANISTS"

A term used to those who subscribe to the theory that Cardinal Siri was elected true Pope. In fact, on the Monastery's website we find this. Here is the direct quote

* Note: We believe that Cardinal Siri was elected Pope and unlawfully forced to resign - thus invalidating the "elections" of John XXIII and Paul VI. But his failure to oppose the apostasy, stand up for his office and denounce the Antipopes in the decades following those fateful days preclude Catholics from holding that he remained Pope in the decades following the 1958 and 1963 conclaves. Cardinal Siri may have been paralyzed by fear, uncertainty and confusion about his status and what to do about it; nevertheless one cannot recognize that he remained Pope in the years following his elections because, at least in the external forum, he did not stand up for his office or oppose the Antipopes.*

I have been in contact with a number of individuals that either do not know much of this theory or some that read over it quite quickly and dismiss it as a funny wild eyed idea. A question posed to me was why anyone waste time as a theologian refuting such a joke of a position. Well, quite plain and simple. My goal as an apologist really is just one, my sole goal is to serve Jesus Christ as best as I can. In order to follow Jesus, my only lord and Savior, one of the things I defend is his Church that he established upon that Rock foundation, Peter. When someone erects a false Pope as a false rock foundation, it catches my attention. But what catches my attention even more is that this belief is widespread. Yes, that is correct. There are thousands of people that believe in this theory and very few refutations on this theory, and even much less that go very in depth. What my goal is, is a daunting one. I am going to attempt to refute every single claim of those that believe in this theory and defend the true faith.

First things first. We've got to realize that the claims that Cardinal Siri being elected Pope are quite outlandish. There really is nothing that can substantiate that Cardinal Siri was Pope and

recognized other Popes as Anti-Popes yet still gave them due reverence.

In doing research on this subject, I spoke to theologian John Vennari, who wrote a fascinating article on this very issue. Through my communication with Mr. Vennari he pointed out interestingly that the fact that Cardinal Siri claimed "I am bound by the secret", as to whether he was Pope really does not prove he was Pope at all. Since he says right afterwards that serious things have taken place at conclaves and he could write many books on such things. Never does Cardinal Siri, in ANY PUBLICLY recorded document claim he indeed was Pope. And what is more evident is that the Pope is the ONLY one who is not bound by conclave secrets at any way whatsoever. This in and of itself goes far enough to demonstrate that the Cardinal Siri theory that people put stock into is quite bankrupt.

We will push further though-->

Cardinal Siri recognized Vatican II as a legitimate council, something that those that subscribe to this theory do not. In fact, Cardinal Siri says "If the Church were not DIVINE, the Council would have buried her."

This very Cardinal Siri was a part of the going ons of Vatican II. In no way did he refuse to sign anything at Vatican II or claim that Vatican II was a robber council!

This very same Giuseppe Siri recognized Pope John the 23rd as Pope, as well as Paul the 6th, John Paul the First and John Paul the Second.

If Cardinal Siri was actually the Pope, we have to stop and wonder if he fell on his head and somehow seemingly forgot, only to remember later on in time and to privately confide this super secretive information to some ultra conservative priest.

In fact, not even the person they'd love to support them the most, Malachi Martin can really help the Sirianists this time out. For he claims that Siri did indeed get enough votes. But he seems to be unsure of the exact details. In fact, he says--

"...he got sufficient votes twice to become Pope in two Conclaves, but he refused it. At least two, if not three, but he refused it. "

So Malachi Martin claims that Siri refused to take the Office of the Papacy. Therefore, unfortunately we have nobody that was present at the Council that claims that Siri even initially accepted the Chair and then was forced to stand down.

We find that one of the main expositors, if not THE main ones is a man named Gary Giuffre--a man from Texas who launched a site claiming that Siri was Pope and wrote a number of articles on this subject. Giuffre was in fact the person that sent Mr. Khoat out to confirm whether Siri was Pope or not.

Seemingly as Khoat met with Cardinal Siri he became the ONLY person out of everyone that has documented proof of conversations with Siri that was told that Siri indeed was the Pope.

So now our focus is going to cover this Khoat character. He is indeed the ONLY one to be told ALLEGEDLY by Cardinal Siri that Siri was indeed the Pope. Let's stop for one moment and realize, not even Malachi Martin claimed that Siri was elected Pope, no one, other than this man who goes by the name of Father Khoat was told by Siri that he was indeed Pope.

We are told that Siri was CONSTANTLY under surveillance by his "CAPTORS" and that they were ready to pounce and kill him in an instant. Well, for someone under amazing surveillance in his own prison, it's quite HILARIOUS that the nefarious Khoat was able to infiltrate this prison and speak to Cardinal Siri. I mean...for someone who was under surveillance and someone that has numerous captors, these guys must have been quite sloppy, cause not only was Khoat able to go

meet with Siri but he was able to capture pictures, he was also able to conduct a few interviews with Cardinal Siri.

We really don't know much of this Mr. Khoat character. All we really know is that he doesn't seem so reliable. All the evidence we DO have goes against anything Khoat, Giuffre or anyone could really show. There are explanations needed as to how a person who recognized himself as valid Pope would have followed the Novus Ordo, recognized V2 as a legitimate council and recognized all of the listed Popes as TRUE Popes, even recognizing them as TRUE Popes in PUBLISHED works, such as his work entitled GETHSEMANE. *

*(Gethsemane is a published work of G. Siri and can be located on Amazon.com for further verification of this)

None of Cardinal Siri's authentic publications suggest any truth to this poor thesis that has sadly smeared his name. Cardinal Siri was a legendary man of the Church, a man that would have not stood for such disgusting smears.

The REAL Cardinal Siri was named the very FIRST President of the Italian Episcopal Conference in 1959. Who named his such? Oh that's right, by the so called ANTI-POPE John the 23rd. So, logically speaking, Cardinal Siri must have been a REAL SCOUNDREL of a Pope, since he accepted this position!

The REAL Cardinal Siri never WROTE any material supporting Sedevacantism, the REAL Cardinal Siri never supported any SCHISMATIC group or any group of Sedevacantism.

The REAL Cardinal Siri sat on the Board of Presidency on the Second Vatican Council, as a part of the Traditional Fathers

The REAL Cardinal Siri was saddened at Archbishop Levebre's stance and rejection of many reforms from Vatican II. This is the REAL CARDINAL SIRI.

Nicolo Buonasorte, the author of a biography on Siri says that

[*Siri, il cardinale dell'Ostpolitik segreta*](#) in

Stating that Levebre never had a following in Italy thanks to Siri.

The REAL Cardinal Siri never knew of the disgusting lies circulating the world about him.

So what then? Are we to take the words of a group of Sedevacantist breed seriously? When all documented evidence, every single piece of documented writing from Siri himself point to the COMPLETE opposite?!

What about the White smoke and Vatican Radio pronouncing a Pope?

I have studied this issue extensively and have read many theories on this. There are many ways to answer this. One way would be to simply say that maybe a Pope could have been elected and then rejected the See. Is it possible that Siri WAS elected but refused the Office? It sure is possible, therefore making such a thing quite possible. But isn't it true that White Smoke ONLY comes out if someone has accepted the Chair? Either which way you look at it, many have fallen

behind Hutton Gibson, the father of Mel Gibson, who in fact at one point SUPPORTED the Siri Thesis and then abandoned it later after realizing what a fraud it was.

Hutton Gibson claims that the belief of the White smoke

and then the White smoke turning to the Black Smoke

was a complete blunder by the Sirianists

He prevents evidence that it was actually a

bad reading of an Italian Newspaper, the Evening

Courier which was actually describing an event some

20 years earlier at ANOTHER conclave. The Sirianists

, as expected, reject this claim but provide no evidence forward as to how this claim is wrong.

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siri_Thesis)

What about the FBI claim that Siri was elected Pope? Does this prove anything? It really doesn't if Siri had rejected the Office. But let's delve deeper into the claim that the FBI did indeed believe Siri was elected Pope on the third ballot.

This claim is one of the most intriguing claims put forth by those that support this thesis. After listening to the podcasts of *David Hobson over and over and over -- I made notes, I marked down everything he said, because in a refutation of something it's VERY important that you refute every single thing that seemingly holds weight.

*(David Hobson is the webmaster of the PopeinRed website)

I decided to call David Hobson and speak to him over the phone, and he can verify he did indeed speak to William Albrecht. David was a very kind man, an individual that really is convicted of his position and seemingly wishes to reach out to others in the world and help them become TRUE Catholics. David was nothing but a gentlement in our conversation. I asked him where I could find these DECLASSIFIED FBI documents, cause I sure couldn't find them on the FBI website. David said that piece of the documents could be found on the Pope in Red site in Father Campbell's sermon that had been posted.

Now, I had read the Pope in Red website thoroughly and still only found the same claims being made, no Official FBI documents put forth. Nevertheless, I decided it was best to give this ANOTHER looksee!

Now let's examine this claim:

Pope Pius had promoted as his successor Cardinal Giuseppe Siri, ardent foe of Communism and defender of the true faith, who would no doubt have followed through with Our Lady's wishes. At the conclave that followed the death of the old Pope, Siri was elected both on the third and fourth ballots, and took the name of Gregory XVII, a fact which is attested to even by documents recently declassified by the FBI, and cited in a recent book. ["The Vatican Exposed" by Paul Williams, pgs. 90-92, Notes p. 243,

Prometheus Books, Amherst, NY, 2003. In it Williams cites as his sources the Department of State secret dispatch, "John XXIII", November 20, 1958, declassified November 11, 1974, and "Cardinal Siri", April 10, 1961, declassified February 28, 1994; the book *Murder in the Vatican*, Manhattan, page 29-32; and by John Cooney in his book *The American Pope: The Life and Times of Francis Cardinal Spellman*, 1988, Times Books, as well as Louis Remy in his article "The Pope: Could he be Cardinal Siri?" in *Sous La Banniere*. All these were cited as sources by Williams for his deductions

Now let's be very very careful and notice where these sources come from. The FBI claims come from an individual by the name of Paul L. Williams (the other individuals are just sources Williams uses and don't deal directly with the FBI claims as we want to examine from Williams). Well, I decided to get myself a copy of this book, the Vatican EXPOSED. Thank GOD for Amazon overnight shipping. I got this book in one day and read it that exact same day. The whole thing. I made note after note after note. Paul Williams is a man that claims outlandish things. He claims so many mafia type scandals and so many behind the curtain happenings that you really have to wonder where this guy is coming from. He claims to have gotten his sources from SECRET dispatches. So what we're working on here is really just word of mouth information from an individual named Paul L. Williams, whose extremely negative tone on the Catholic Church is just appalling.

In fact, after I finished the book, I called a certain website company that was selling Paul Williams books. I was notified that they no longer had anymore available.

I just had to ask why they were out of this individual's books. I mean, I was interested in reading more about this Paul Williams character.

Well it turns out our MAIN source for the FBI claims was being SUED for roughly TWO MILLION DOLLARS by McMaster University for his slanderous claims that have no facts behind them at all!

That's right, so I hit up Wikipedia for Paul Williams and I found out that

Williams is currently being sued by [McMaster University](#) for upwards of \$2-Million, as a result of his claims that Islamic terrorists managed to steal 180lbs of unspecified [nuclear material](#) from the [McMaster Nuclear Reactor](#). The University has extracted an apology from the publisher of *Dunces of Doomsday*, WND Books/Cumberland House Publishing, who now say that statements made in Williams' book about the theft are "without basis in fact." Williams himself is standing behind his claims.

The [Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission](#), which regulates all radioactive material in Canada, have released a letter stating that "We can confirm that there has never been a report of any nuclear material that has been lost or stolen from McMaster's reactor".^[3]

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_L._Williams)

(Private Details through a personal conversation with webmasters)

Well, well. This is quite damaging for those that love to throw out that "FBI DECLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS" claim! Cause it all really boils down to NOTHING!

Paul Williams and his books are all based on CONSPIRACY THEORIES, about the US, about 9/11, about the Catholic Church, his attacks come from every direction. His "secret" information sure isn't known to anyone but himself!

There goes the Sirianist's claim to FBI documents that blow open the truth for the world to see!!

Paul L. Williams is eliminated from the picture as a reliable source and in fact, works AGAINST the Sirianists!

What about The Venerable Anne Catherine Emmerich's quotes that are used by the Sirianists?

Let's examine them in detail.

"I saw St. Peter's. A great crowd of men was trying to pull it down

Whoa whoa here..Let's stop for a moment. In this vision (and let's remember for ONE moment, PRIVATE revelation is NOT binding upon the Catholic faithful. There is no need to believe anything said in a private revelation because it's NOT ongoing revelation and it's NOT officially sanctioned by the Catholic Church. Take it or leave basically. Is all of it bad? No, not at all, but it's NOT inspired. Simple as that)

Anyhow, in this vision Anne sees St. Peter's , and a crowd of people are trying to pull it down. TRYING? Well, according to the Sirianists and the other Sedevacantists isn't St. Peter's already pulled down? The current see as we know it is VACANT, therefore it's already been taken care of? It's not being PULLED down. It's already vacant, there's no current residing Pope here.

whilst others constantly built it up again. Lines connected these men one with another and with others throughout the whole world. I was amazed at their perfect understanding.

The demolishers, mostly apostates and members of different sects,

Ahh, the demolishers, these wicked men are apostates and people from other sects. I assume the Sirianists are referring to the people they believe are Anti-Popes. The people that Cardinal Siri supported. Yes, that's what, Cardinal Siri supported the so called ANTI-POPES.

*broke off whole pieces and worked according to rules and instructions. They wore white **aprons bound with blue riband. In them were pockets and they had trowels stuck in*

their belts. The costumes of the others were various. ...

*I saw the **Pope** praying, surrounded by false friends who often did the very opposite to what he had ordered..."*

This is of the most importance for us here. For in this verse Anne Emmerich sees the Pope praying surrounded by people masquerading as his brothers but they really aren't and they don't even follow his orders.

How does this even resemble the Cardinal Siri position at all? We're told That Siri was basically held at GUNPOINT!

So if Siri was basically held at gunpoint and was never allowed to assume or act out his office, how on earth does this vision work. The Pope, Gregory the 17th, according to the Sirianists was never allowed to truly announce his office since he was threatened in so many different ways, so where is the proof that he was surrounded by people that didn't do what he orderered them to do? We have no proof of this at all. Instead we have photos that are posted about, of Cardinal Siri, with other people, and numerous with Pope John Paul II and we're told that in these photos that he was basically furious or being held at gunpoint. Yet such has really no substance at all, since there are dozens of photos that can be produced well over that show Pope John Paul II and Siri together on various trips, and hardly show Cardinal Siri in any form of distress!

Wow..really. Poor Siri. The Pope at Gunpoint, that's what he should be called. Not the Pope in Red, the Pope at Gunpoint. But how elusive he was. He was able to have a good bit of snapshots presented to you as he was at "Gunpoint".

Another thing to be taken into consideration is that Cardinal Siri, we are told, was held IMPRISONED at a Diocese of Geneva. We are even told he basically held captive here! Let's examine the article portion on the Popeinred website right below:

"Siri's" Prison

"Following the 1978 Conclave, Cardinal Siri was escorted back to his familiar exile in Genoa where he resumed his long, agonizing captivity. Siri's episcopal quarters had become an impenetrable prison where his every move was controlled

by his captors who posed to the outside world as trusted members of the Cardinal's household. The hidden pope-elect, languishing in a helpless and lonely confinement, would live to witness the savage demolition of his Church for over a quarter of a century. Only toward the end of Siri's lengthy ordeal would the facts begin to be uncovered concerning the illegal intervention from outside the conclave which had been directed against the pope-elect in 1978 as it had been done to him before in the earlier and more significant 1963 papal election." (and the only one that truly mattered, October 1958 -*TCW*) **(Extracted from, *Pope Gregory XVII Pope in Exile 1958-1989*, published by *Sangre De Cristo Newsnotes* 08/15/90)**

So we've got to feel real sorry for Cardinal Siri right? After the 1978 Conclave, we are told, Siri resumed his LONG, and agonizing captivity. What we AREN'T told is that CARDINAL SIRI was actually ARCHBISHOP of this Diocese of Genoa, now Genova, and he HAD been since 1946!

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bishop_of_Genoa)

That's right. The Pope who was returned to HIS "PRISON", must have been a prisoner there for QUITE some time, even before any of the alleged conclaves! This shows, the SHODDY studying and sad excuse for a position the Sirianists have taken. This is, to say the least, dangerous. Any group of people that DELIBERATELY LIE to mislead others are DANGEROUS and we should STEER clear of them. This group has all the workings of a CULT! One more time, Cardinal Siri was the ARCHBISHOP of GENOA! He wasn't a prisoner being held SECRETLY captive. Father Khoat, as we are told, didn't need to map out his journey to find where in the world Siri was being held captive, he had been the ARCHBISHOP of this location for nearly FORTY years before Khoat made his Indiana Jones-like journey to visit Siri!

In CONCLUSION:

Furthermore on May the 17th I made a trip out to Corpus Christi in order to see just what the deal was about in the Siri camp. The Church of OUR LADY OF THE MOST HOLY TRINITY was my next dedication.

(This is the Church in which Father Khoat made a sermon that is posted on thePopeinRed.com website. It is labeled as one of the most important sermons and speeches that Father Khoat has ever made)

I figured, this is the very Church where Father Khoat decided to come and preach! Wow, I thought, I'm gonna really get down and into all the info that is strongest to the support the Siri Thesis. Right? Wrong! The Sedevacantist Church of O L T M H T surely doesn't follow the Vatican II, the Novus Ordo, or pretty much anything the common Sedevacante rejects. But one thing they place on the list of heresies is also the Cardinal Siri thesis. I got to meet with the incredibly nice Mr. Rios, the

President of the Church. We spoke for many hours, shared material, and even though we disagreed on where the actual Catholic Church could be found, we both are of the same persuasion, that the Cardinal Siri thesis holds no water at all. In fact, Mr. Rios knows Father Khoat and Mr. Hobson(webmaster of thePopeinRed and In Today's Catholic World websites), and after Father Khoat asked to attend the Church again, Mr. Rios refused him. Mr. Rios sent Father Khoat a list of things he wanted before ever accepting Father Khoat back into his Church and Father Khoat seemingly realized it was too much for him to handle, too many questions to answer. Father Khoat seemingly knows that the Pope in Red thesis is ripe for the refutation. People out there are realizing more and more that this has heresy written all over it, and those from this camp are losing their supporters at an alarming rate. Virtually 90% of all the websites and groups that SUPPORTED the Siri Thesis have abandoned it and have admitted that it cannot be held logically.

GOD WILLING, The Holy Trinity can help guide everyone who has been tricked into believing this false teaching out of it and back into the unity of the Church of Christ. Jesus was NOT a liar when he said the GATES of HELL would not prevail against his Church.

Jesus was NOT a liar when he gave the keys to the kingdom of Heaven to Peter, keys that would be passed on til the end of time. He was NOT a liar. And no one has the authority to come right out and claim THEY have the true Church with NO documented evidence of the sort. GOD save us from such deceit!

This Siri Theory is built upon shoddy scholarship. There are so many holes in this theory that it is surprising anyone really takes it at face value. There are people out there that have been deceived and may not know all the details when it concerns this position and that's why this refutation was made.

Rest assured there will be more to come from the Siri camp. Maybe more claims, maybe more individuals? I can't say anything about the "individual" part, but if anything else DOES surface, I can guarantee you that the Catholic faithful will be around to stamp out yet another heresy.

GOD BLESS

--William Albrecht